Isolation of Novel Pelagic Bacteria from the German Bight and Their Seasonal Contributions to Surface Picoplankton
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We tested new strategies for the isolation of abundant bacteria from coastal North Sea surface waters, which included reducing by several orders of magnitude the concentrations of inorganic N and P compounds in a synthetic seawater medium. Agar plates were resampled over 37 days, and slowly growing colonies were allowed to develop by repeatedly removing all newly formed colonies. A fivefold increase of colonies was observed on plates with reduced nutrient levels, and the phylogenetic composition of the culture collection changed over time, towards members of the Roseobacter lineage and other alpha-proteobacteria. Novel gamma-proteobacteria from a previously uncultured but cosmopolitan lineage (NOR5) formed colonies only after 12 days of plate incubation. A fivefold increase of colonies was observed on plates with reduced nutrient levels, and the phylogenetic composition of the culture collection changed over time, towards members of the Roseobacter lineage and other alpha-proteobacteria. Novel gamma-proteobacteria from a previously uncultured but cosmopolitan lineage (NOR5) formed colonies only after 12 days of plate incubation. A fivefold increase of colonies was observed on plates with reduced nutrient levels, and the phylogenetic composition of the culture collection changed over time, towards members of the Roseobacter lineage and other alpha-proteobacteria. Novel gamma-proteobacteria from a previously uncultured but cosmopolitan lineage (NOR5) formed colonies only after 12 days of plate incubation.

Early marine microbiologists were convinced that a high percentage of abundant pelagic bacteria could be recovered on substrate-amended agar plates (49). This was supported by comparing the morphologies and Gram-staining reactions of isolates and free-living cells. Since then, epifluorescence microscopy (24) and molecular biological techniques have changed our paradigm about the culturability of common aquatic microbes. Frequently, less than 1% of all the cells from marine picoplankton form colonies on solid media (15). The reasons for this low recovery remain subject of debate (46).

Molecular biology has developed tools that help us to study the contributions of individual microbial lineages to the picoplankton (11, 17, 19, 36, 38, 47). This knowledge provides ecological criteria for choosing bacterial species as the focus of cultivation attempts and conversely can show whether isolated strains belong to lineages that are frequent in the environment (14). With the exception of the Roseobacter lineage (19), there are no isolates available from many of the abundant phylogenetic groups in the picoplankton (e.g., the SAR86 cluster or the marine crenarchaeota) (21, 27). In contrast, a large fraction of frequently isolated bacterial strains are related to opportunistic genera, such as Vibrio, Alteromonas, and Marinomonas, which probably inhabit niches with high substrate concentrations (32) but do not form large populations in the water column (14).

On the other hand, although the environmental parameters found at abundance maxima of particular phylogenetic groups may provide important information, a knowledge of the in situ densities does not readily result in specific cultivation strategies. The sizes of individual populations in the picoplankton are determined not only by substrate availability but also by food web structure and by viral mortality (48). Moreover, many heterotrophic pelagic bacteria and archaea probably overlap in their substrate spectra (26, 28, 35). At present, the appropriate conditions for the cultivation of common pelagic microbes can only be determined empirically.

It is, therefore, generally believed that isolation of model organisms for the oligotrophic pelagic microbiota will involve laborious and time-consuming strategies, e.g., dilution to extinction (2, 6, 7). This view has recently been challenged by Pinhassi et al. (37, 38), who reported that a majority of the common bacterial species from the water column of the Baltic Sea could be grown on agar plates amended with ZoBell’s original substrate mix (49). However, Eilers et al. have pointed out the practical limitations of such an approach (14). In their study, molecular biological techniques indicated the importance of uncultured gamma-proteobacteria related to SAR86 in coastal North Sea surface waters, but no representatives from this lineage were found in >140 bacterial strains collected over 2 years. The probability of retrieving any additional, novel gamma-proteobacterial isolates without a change of isolation strategy had decreased to less than 1 in every 50 new strains. So even if all pelagic marine bacteria and archaea were able to form colonies on agar plates, many strains from important marine lineages might still remain undetected, because of a predominance of isolates from genera that are
rare in the picoplankton. For example, some oligotrophic species might exhibit a prolonged growth delay upon transfer to a solid medium. Such bacteria would be rapidly overgrown by other strains, such as those that are able to actively disperse over plate surfaces by swarming (12).

We attempted to obtain novel alpha- and gamma-proteobacteria from coastal North Sea surface waters by modified isolation strategies. The concentrations of the inorganic nutrients N and P in the growth medium were reduced, and plate cultivation techniques were adopted to allow the colony formation of microbes with longer growth delays. The abundances of those phylogenetic lineages that had been the target of cultivation were determined in environmental samples collected during the previous year. In addition, we determined the in situ densities of members from one culturable lineage of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium (CF) group (14).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Sampling site and fixation.** Surface water was collected weekly (total bacterial counts and pychtoplankton) or biweekly (specific bacterial groups) for the analysis of picoplankton dynamics between January and December 1998. Samples were obtained by pumping from a 1-m depth at the Helgoland Roads station (54°09'N, 7°52'E) near the island of Helgoland, which is situated approximately 50 km offshore in the German Bight of the North Sea. For cultivation, seawater was sampled once on 25 August 1999 at the same site. Water was stored at 4°C and processed within approximately 1 h. For fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), portions of 100 ml of unfiltered seawater were processed as described by Gölckner et al. (16).

**Colony formation and enrichment cultures.** A synthetic seawater medium (MPM) was prepared for cultivation on agar plates as described by Schut et al. (14). In a modified version of this salt mix (MPM-m), the pH was adjusted to 7.5 and the concentrations of NH4Cl and KH2PO4 were reduced to 50 and 1.5 C/liter) (14), and for plate cultivation, 1% (wt/vol) agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) was added. Subsamples (100 μl) of unfiltered seawater were directly spread on triplicate petri dishes containing either MPM or MPM-m. Over a period of 37 days the numbers of visible colonies were determined with a binocular microscope at a ×4 magnification. After 2, 7, 12, 15, 19, 26, and 36 days, all visible colonies were removed from the plate by excising the entire colony and the underlying agar with a sterile spatula. One plate containing MPM-m was selected for further characterization of isolates. The collected strains were subcultured in liquid medium before repating.

For the enrichment of marine alpha-proteobacteria in liquid cultures, MPM-m without additional substrates was inoculated with unfiltered or prefiltred (pore size, 1.2 and 0.45 μm) seawater (1:100 to 1:1,000). The dilutions were incubated at 16°C in the dark. The enrichments were continuously screened by FISH with the oligonucleotide probe ALF968, specific for the alpha-proteobacteria (33), over a period of 9 weeks. If the alpha-proteobacterial abundances in the enrichments significantly increased (>20% of total counts), aliquots were plated on solid medium containing the MPM-m salt mix without additional carbon source.

Representatives of all discernible colony morphotypes were selected from agar plates and subcultured under the same conditions. Only isolates that hybridized with probe ALF968 were included in the subsequent analysis.

**Phylogenetic analysis.** Bacterial 16S rRNA primers 5'-AGATTTGATCMTGTCG-3' and 1542r 5'-AAGGAGGAGGTACCTATCT-3' were used to amplify almost full-length 16S ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) from isolates (5) by PCR (42). Amplified 16S rRNA genes from isolates were sequenced by Taq cycle sequencing and universal 16S rRNA-specific primers using an ABI317 (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) sequencer. Sequence data were analyzed with the ARB software package (http://www.mikro.biologie.tu-muenchen.de). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using neighbor-joining, maximum-parsimony, and maximum-likelihood analyses. Only sequences that were at least 90% complete were used for tree construction. Alignment positions at which less than 50% of sequences of the entire set of data had the same residues were excluded from the calculations to prevent uncertain alignments within highly variable positions of the 16S rDNA, which may cause mistakes in the tree topology.

**Cell counts, FISH, and probe design.** Total picoplankton counts were determined by epifluorescence microscopy (24). Isolates were screened by FISH with the oligonucleotide probes EU388, targeted to Bacteria (1), ALF968, GAM42a, targeted to the gamma proteobacteria (31), and CF319a, targeted to the CF group of the Bacteroidetes (30). The specific oligonucleotide probes NOS5-730, NOS5-130, CYT1448, CYT1438, ROS537, KT13-231, KT09a, KT09b, RC1031, KT020a or KT020a TASCTAGCTGATTGATCCG 136 135 20
ROS7-1029 JP7.1 group CTGGTACCTGGTCCTCTGG 1029 1046 20
Erythrosin69 JP13.1 group GCCACCTACCCCGAGGTT 69 106 20
Rhizo218 JP66.1 group GGGCCCATCTCCTACTCCGA 218 235 20
**TABLE 1. Oligonucleotide probes developed for FISH screening of environmental samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Probe sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Target site (16S rRNA positions)</th>
<th>% FAa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYTI448</td>
<td>Cytphaga spp.</td>
<td>CTAGGCCGCTCCTTACGG</td>
<td>1448–1465</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYTI438</td>
<td>Cytphaga spp.</td>
<td>CGCTCTTCTAGGTTGACG</td>
<td>1438–1455</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORS5-730</td>
<td>NORS5 cluster</td>
<td>TCGAGCGAGGGGCCC</td>
<td>730–747</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORS5-130</td>
<td>NORS5 isolate KT71</td>
<td>CCCACACTGAGTAGATG</td>
<td>130–147</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS537</td>
<td>Marine alpha cluster (20)</td>
<td>CACCACTAACCCTTCC</td>
<td>537–553</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT13-231</td>
<td>KT1117</td>
<td>ATCTAATCIAACCGGGCC</td>
<td>231–249</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT09b</td>
<td>KT0917</td>
<td>GCTATTCCATGATCTGG</td>
<td>136–153</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT09c</td>
<td>KT0917</td>
<td>ACTGTGCTCCCCAAGA</td>
<td>1025–1042</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC1031</td>
<td>KT020a</td>
<td>ACCGTCACTGATTCGCG</td>
<td>1031–1049</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC1239</td>
<td>KT020a</td>
<td>TAACTCACTGATGTTGCCAT</td>
<td>1239–1297</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ros7-1029</td>
<td>JP7.1 group</td>
<td>CTGTCACTGTTGTCCCTG</td>
<td>1029–1046</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrosin69</td>
<td>JP13.1 group</td>
<td>GCCACCTACCCCGAGGTT</td>
<td>69–106</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizo218</td>
<td>JP66.1 group</td>
<td>GGGCCCATCTCCTACTCCGA</td>
<td>218–235</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a E. coli numbering (3).

b Percent (vol/vol) formamide (FA) in hybridization buffer.
MPM-m, 41% were affiliated with the gamma-proteobacteria (14 out of 34), 20% were affiliated with the CF branch of the Bacteroidetes, and only 9% were affiliated with alpha-proteobacteria. Within the next 13 days the number of additional alpha-proteobacteria increased by a factor of 10, whereas the number of additional isolates from the gamma-proteobacteria and the CF group increased less than three-fold (Fig. 1B). During the second half of the incubation period the majority of newly retrieved isolates were affiliated with the alpha-proteobacteria. Altogether there were 19 colonies that did not grow after reinoculation in liquid medium (“not transferable” in Fig. 1B). At the end of incubation the gamma-proteobacteria constituted 29%, members of the CF group constituted 12%, and the alpha-proteobacteria constituted 33% of the culture collection (Fig. 1B). The number of alpha-proteobacteria affiliated with the “marine alpha” lineage (i.e., that hybridized with probe ROS537) (Fig. 2A) increased from a single colony during the first 2 days to a total of 11 strains (9%).

During the first 2 days of plate incubation, we obtained well-known alpha-proteobacteria such as Roseobacter spp., gamma-proteobacteria affiliated with the genera Pseudoalteromonas, Alteromonas, Colwellia, and Photobacterium, and members of the CF cluster from the genera Cytophaga, Polaribacter, and Flavobacterium. Between days 3 and 11, bacteria from numerous additional genera were isolated, e.g., Erythromicrobium, Agrobacterium, Paracoccus, and Sulfitobacter (alpha proteobacteria); Marinomonas, Shewanella, Sedimentibacter, and Alcanivorax (gamma proteobacteria); and Flexibacter (Bacteroidetes). One isolate (strain KT71) that was affiliated with a newly defined lineage of the gamma-proteobacteria (NOR5) (Fig. 2B) appeared only after 12 days of incubation (Fig. 1). This was also the case for several strains related to NOR1 (14). 16S rDNA sequence analysis of some isolates that did not hybridize with the three group-specific probes (ALF968, GAM42a, and CF319a) revealed that they belonged to other lineages, such as the actinobacterial genus Microbacterium or the gamma-proteobacterial genus Colwellia.

During a period of several weeks the liquid enrichment cultures were regularly screened by FISH with probe ALF968. A total of 77 strains were isolated from those treatments, consisting of at least 20% alpha-proteobacteria. Twenty-three strains (30%) positively hybridized with probe ALF968. For initial phylogenetic analysis, partial 16S rDNA sequences of these isolates were determined. Sequences fell into eight distinct groups within the alpha-proteobacteria, and at least one nearly complete 16S rDNA sequence from each group was obtained (Table 2; Fig. 2A). The most frequent isolates were related to the genus Erythrobacter (11 out of 23). Three sequences affiliated with two lineages within the Roseobacter cluster (Fig. 2A). The phylogenetic affiliation of representative alpha-proteobacterial isolates from different lineages, and of other strains that were screened for their in situ occurrence in environmental samples, is shown in Table 2.

Seasonal development of the plankton community. The annual temperature of surface waters of the German Bight in 1998 ranged between 3.2 and 17.9°C. It increased between April and August and declined thereafter (Fig. 3A). Between April and October, several short-lived maxima of phytoplankton biomass were observed (Fig. 3A) (www.pangaea.de/projects/). Interestingly, the spring phytoplankton bloom typically observed in the German Bight during April (40) was comparatively low. Bacterial cell densities remained more or less constant until late April (1.2 × 10⁵ ml⁻¹) (Fig. 3B), and cell numbers increased more than 10-fold over the next 3 months. Several peaks of total abundances were clearly separated by periods with lower cell densities. Bacterial numbers decreased between July and September and remained low thereafter.

Detection rates with probe EUB338, targeted to most Bacteria, roughly followed the patterns of total abundances (Fig. 3B) (Spearman rank correlation; n = 26, R = 0.7, P < 0.001). From January to March and from October to December, usually <50% of all DAPI-stained objects were detected by FISH. Between 52 and 92% of total picoplankton counts were visualized by FISH from April to September (mean, 66% of DAPI counts). The large majority of FISH-detectable bacteria (mean, 93%) could be identified as members of the CF group or of the alpha- and gamma-proteobacteria by the oligonucleotide probes CF319a, ALF968, and GAM42a. On average, 37% of FISH-detectable cells were affiliated with the CF group, 29% were affiliated with the alpha-proteobacteria, and...
19% were affiliated with the gamma-proteobacteria (i.e., 21, 15, and 10% of DAPI counts, respectively). On several occasions, the sum of the group-specific probes even exceeded the total counts with probe EUB338, but with one exception (week 31) this always ranged within the expected cumulative counting error.

Between April and July, there were several peaks of abundance of members of the CF group, with an annual maximum
of $1.2 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$ in July (Fig. 4A). During this period they constituted up to 55% of total picoplankton counts (i.e., DAPI; mean, 51%) and up to 76% of FISH-detectable bacteria (mean, 51%). Bacteria affiliated with the Cytophaga marina-flava–Cytophaga latercula lineage (Fig. 2C and 4B) were detectable between April and September and formed a constant fraction of 6% between April and September. Alpha-proteobacteria formed local maxima in mid-May and July (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the CF group, the relative abundances of gamma-proteobacteria were not higher in July than in May.

The relative abundances of bacteria detected by ALF968 ranged from 12 to 33% of total DAPI counts between April and September. Alpha-proteobacteria formed local maxima in mid-May and July (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the CF group, the abundances of alpha-proteobacteria were not higher in July than in May. Alpha-proteobacteria spp. and other members of the “marine alpha” group (19), as detected by probe ROS537 (Fig. 2A), formed the majority of the alpha-proteobacteria between April and September (mean, 67%; range, 44 to 100%, corresponding to 12% of DAPI counts) (Fig. 4B). During that period, the counts with a published probe for the Roseobacter group, MALF1 (19), were significantly lower than with probe ROS537 (mean, 69% of detection with ROS537; Wilcoxon matched-pair test, $n = 9$, $P < 0.05$). Culturable representatives from various phylogenetic lineages within the Roseobacter cluster (isolates KT0202a, KT0917, KT1117, and JP7.1) (Table 2) and from other alpha-proteobacterial lineages (isolates JP13.1 and JP66.1) (Table 2) never constituted more than 1% of DAPI counts in German Bight surface waters, as determined by FISH with specific probes (Table 1).

A first maximum of bacteria hybridizing with probe GAM42a was observed at the end of June ($0.2 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$, 20% of DAPI) (Fig. 4A). Between April and September, the relative abundances of gamma-proteobacteria fluctuated between 10 and 20% of DAPI counts. Bacteria detected by GAM42a had densities similar to those of the alpha-proteobacteria during July and August ($0.4 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$). For a period of approximately 3 months (May to August), members of the NOR5 cluster, as detected by probe NOR5-730, formed a large fraction (up to 61%) of gamma-proteobacteria. Two abundance maxima of NOR5 could be discerned, in early June and late July (Fig. 4B), when members of this group constituted 6 to 8% of total picoplankton (DAPI) counts.

### DISCUSSION

**Abundant culturable gamma-proteobacteria affiliated with NOR5.** So far, strain KT71 is the only isolated representative of a probably cosmopolitan gamma-proteobacterial lineage (NOR5) (Fig. 2B). Other members of this cluster are known exclusively from cloned 16S rDNA sequences, which have been amplified from the coastal North Sea, the Mediterranean Sea, and the Atlantic Ocean (14, 41, 43). Almost all bacteria affiliated with NOR5 in coastal North Sea surface waters (Fig. 4B) were also detected by a second probe, NOR5-130 (Table 2), which was targeted exclusively to strain KT71.

Thus, within the limits of our rRNA-based identification, KT71 is among the first heterotrophic bacterial isolates that can be detected in the marine picoplankton in high abundances by direct microscopic counts. Bacteria related to KT71 formed populations of up to $1.5 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$ in the surface waters of the German Bight (8% of DAPI counts) (Fig. 4B). They constituted a major fraction of FISH-detectable gamma-proteobacteria in the picoplankton during May and June (33%) and July and August 1998 (66%). At present we do not know whether NOR5 also plays a role in offshore microbial communities or in deeper water layers. The two observed abundance maxima coincided with biomass peaks of a single diatom species, *Lauderia* sp. (23). However, it would be too early to speculate about potential relationships between the two populations, since our environmental data are limited to a 1-year interval.
period and our sampling frequency probably did not provide sufficient resolution for an adequate description of plankton bloom situations (21).

Strain KT71 could be isolated on our mix of monomers and amino acids only after substantially reduction of the originally reported concentrations of N and P, and the strain formed a visible colony after 12 days of incubation. Eilers et al. (14) have shown that the probability of obtaining additional diversity of gamma-proteobacteria from the German Bight by plating on MPM medium was marginal, irrespective of a variety of different carbon sources. Therefore, the successful isolation of a member from the previously unculturable NOR5 lineage was most likely the consequence of our modifications of the original technique.

In a previous study, we discovered that frequently isolated opportunistic gamma-proteobacteria affiliated with Alteromonas and Vibrio were quickly enriched in filtrates of coastal North Sea surface water (13). During earlier cultivation attempts (14), we furthermore noticed a rapid dispersal of some isolates over the agar surface, which resulted in a fusion of different colonies after 2 to 3 days of plate incubation. These observations inspired us to continuously remove newly appearing colonies from the petri dishes and, thus, to extend the potential period of colony formation to several weeks (Fig. 1A).

The isolation of KT71 after 12 days of incubation suggests that some common pelagic bacteria may grow on agar plates only after an extended lag phase. However, significant increases in the total number of isolates, and in their phylogenetic diversity, were observed only if the inorganic N and P concentrations in the medium were reduced to match the maximal ambient levels of coastal North Sea waters in 1998 (1.3 μmol of P liter⁻¹, 29 μmol of N liter⁻¹) (Fig. 1A). Cultivation of marine bacterioplankton on common complex media (2, 38) might, therefore, prevent the growth of autochthonous pelagic species because of excessively high nutrient concentrations. Preliminary observations indicate that strain KT71 does not grow on media containing 0.2% peptone and 0.1% yeast extract but can be maintained in liquid culture on our modified synthetic medium (H. Eilers, unpublished results).

**Cultured members of the Roseobacter lineage are rare in the environment.** During recent years, the so-called “marine alpha” cluster (19), a phylogenetic lineage harboring genera such as Roseobacter, Sulfotobacter, and Sagittula (Fig. 2A), has been shown to contribute significantly to coastal picoplankton (19, 21). Concomitantly, a number of isolates from this cluster could be readily obtained on substrates such as lignin or low-nutrient complex media (19, 22), and this has been regarded as evidence for the culturability of some abundant pelagic marine bacteria (19). Strains from the Roseobacter lineage serve as model organisms for the degradation abilities of “ecologically relevant” bacteria (4) and for the study of microbial sulfur cycling in the pelagic zone (18). This group, furthermore, plays a key role in controlling the turnover of the algal osmolyte

---

**FIG. 3.** (A) Seasonal fluctuations of water temperature and of phytoplankton biomass in German Bight surface waters in 1998. (B) Seasonal fluctuations of total picoplankton abundances and of FISH detection rates by the bacterial probe EUB338. Phytoplankton data are from www.pangaea.de/projects/.

---

**FIG. 4.** (A) Seasonal fluctuations of alpha-proteobacteria (ALF968) and gamma-proteobacteria (GAM42a) and of members of the CF lineage (CF319a) detected by FISH in German Bight surface waters in 1998. (B) Abundances of Cytophaga spp. (CYT1448), members of the Roseobacter lineage (ROS537), and members of the NOR5 cluster (NOR5-730). Note the different y-axis scales.
dimethylsulfiniopropionate (50), and bacteria from the *Roseobacter* cluster form prominent populations during coccolithophore blooms (21, 50).

Altogether we obtained 14 strains that were affiliated with this phylogenetic group. A reduction in inorganic N and P in the medium, and an extended sampling of the agar plates, appeared to positively select for such bacteria. More than 10% of all isolates that formed colonies between day 2 and day 37 of plate incubation (10 of 87) were members of the *Roseobacter* lineage, as determined by group-specific FISH. In contrast, <6% of strains (8 of 142) were affiliated with this group in a previous collection of isolates that was established on the original MPM medium, and without plate resampling (14). Some strains from the marine alpha cluster were also isolated by plating on MPM-m medium after liquid enrichment on MPM-m without additional substrates. However, the latter approach was not particularly selective for this lineage but rather yielded numerous strains that were affiliated with *Erythrobacter* (Fig. 2A).

We designed several probes targeting different groups of isolates from the *Roseobacter* cluster (Table 1) and a new group-specific probe, ROS537, with more complete coverage than a previously described probe (19). Cells detected by ROS537 (Fig. 4B) constituted a prominent fraction of all alpha-proteobacteria in German Bight surface waters (annual mean, 49%, corresponding to 8% of total DAPI counts). Yet the specific probes revealed that bacteria related to our isolates (Table 2; Fig. 2A) did not form large populations in the picoplankton, even when the FISH detection rates were generally high. Interestingly, the majority of *Roseobacter* cells in samples from July to October 1998 (mean, 60%) could be visualized by a recently described probe, RSB67 (50), that is targeted to the 16S rDNA sequence of uncultured bacteria from this lineage (clone ZD0207) (Fig. 2A). Bacteria detected by this probe constituted the majority of the DNA- and protein-rich subpopulation in a picoplankton community during an algal bloom (50) in the northern part of the North Sea.

We present evidence that several culturable members of the marine *Roseobacter* group are rare in the environment, as has been documented for the marine gamma-proteobacteria (13). The *Roseobacter* lineage is physiologically rather versatile, including even phototrophic members (29). All previously described species are phylogenetically more closely related to our strains than to the uncultured phylotype ZD0207 (Fig. 2A). Culturable members of the *Roseobacter* lineage might, therefore, in general not be representative of the species that are abundant in coastal waters (Fig. 4B) (19) or during phytoplankton blooms (21, 50). Some readily culturable marine gamma-proteobacteria (*Pseudoalteromonas* and *Vibrio*) are known to be associated with animal and plant surfaces (25, 32). We speculate that the culturable species of the *Roseobacter* group may preferentially inhabit the surfaces of particular marine eucaryotes, e.g., algae (39). This could account for their superior ability to form colonies on solid media at reduced nutrient concentrations.

A lineage of culturable marine *Cytophaga* spp. During an earlier study, only a few strains isolated on MPM medium were affiliated with the CF cluster of the *Bacteroidetes* (14). However, this group formed an important component of the surface picoplankton in the German Bight in 1998 and occasionally even numerically dominated the total bacterial community (Fig. 4A). High relative abundances of members of the CF group have also been found in the surface plankton of the coastal Atlantic Ocean during summer (8), as well as in Antarctic waters, co-occurring with a *Phaeocystis* bloom (45). Marine CF group members are apparently not limited to the attached bacterial fraction (10) but are common in the water column.

We therefore designed probes targeted to isolates from the culture collection of Eilers et al. (14) that were affiliated with the *C. marinoflava-C. latercula* lineage (Fig. 2C). This “marine cytophaga” cluster formed a small but constant fraction of the picoplankton during spring and summer. Between April and September, 5% of all DAPI-stained objects (19% of CF) were detected by the specific probes on average (Fig. 4B). Little is known about the physiology of the CF group members that are common in the aquatic environment. Preliminary results indicate that isolated strains from this *C. marinoflava-C. latercula* cluster do not grow on hydrolyzed chitin (Eilers, unpublished), which is preferentially consumed by some marine CF group members (9). Further studies are required to adequately cover the phylogenetic diversity within the CF lineage, and new isolation strategies that are more selective for abundant pelagic members of the CF group need to be developed.

**Study of seasonal population changes by FISH.** We did not attempt an exhaustive analysis of the microbial community composition in German Bight surface picoplankton, and important groups such as SAR86, SAR11 (21), and the marine archaea have not been studied (27). Our investigation focused on the dynamics of a few lineages that were also targets of isolation attempts or from which isolates had been obtained earlier (Fig. 2) (14). Although a majority of the picoplankton community could be detected by FISH between April and September, at elevated water temperature and phytoplankton biomass (Fig. 1A), detection rates were low during winter. For the latter period it is thus impossible to evaluate whether and to what extent the per-cell activities of individual groups influenced our abundance estimates. Other microscopic approaches, e.g., FISH with polyribonucleotide probes (27), might help to overcome this limitation in the future.

Nevertheless, even a partial elucidation of the seasonal dynamics of picoplankton community composition provided us with targets for a directed screening and led to the investigation of isolates affiliated with *Roseobacter* spp. and *Cytophaga* spp. (Fig. 2A and C). The time series over the whole productive season (Fig. 4B) allowed a more integrated evaluation of the presence or absence of a particular phylotype in the pelagic zone than a single sampling. Bacteria isolated at any particular season could be extremely rare at that time point but could still form substantial populations during other periods of the year. Our biweekly sampling scheme might actually be too coarse to detect all abundance maxima of individual phylotypes, since, e.g., phytoplankton blooms in the North Sea occur on a scale of weeks (Fig. 1A). It is possible that some of the isolates affiliated with the alpha-proteobacteria formed similarly short-lived peaks in the German Bight. However, the probability of accidently missing all indication of six different populations (Fig. 2A) by our sampling is marginal. Nevertheless, investigations of single phylotypes at higher temporal resolution will be necessary to elucidate the actual dynamics and stability of bacterial populations in the plankton.
Conclusions. Our results only partially support a hypothesis by Pinhassi et al. (38) and Gonzalez and Moran (19), who proposed that typical members of the marine picoplankton can form colonies on substrate-amended agar plates. Both the original and the modified synthetic medium yielded a few isolates that were closely related to abundant bacteria from coastal North Sea surface waters. However, most isolates were “laboratory weeds” with good culturability but low in situ abundance, e.g., all strains from the *Roseobacter* lineage. There is an obvious discrepancy between the rapid advancement of cultivation-independent approaches for the analysis of marine pelagic bacteria and the simplicity of typical isolation strategies, which have changed little during the last 50 years. In this study, the successful isolation of abundant bacteria from North Sea surface waters (Fig. 4B) was guided by information about bacterial isolates. With this knowledge in hand, cultivation-independent approaches for the analysis of marine pelagic bacteria and the simplicity of typical isolation strategies, which have changed little during the last 50 years. In this study, the successful isolation of abundant bacteria from North Sea surface waters (Fig. 4B) was guided by information about bacterial isolates. With this knowledge in hand, it is possible to isolate truly pelagic bacteria and to detect important members of the picoplankton hidden in culture collections.
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